

- [45] Aaron Powers, Sara Kiesler, Susan Fussell, and Cristen Torrey. 2007. Comparing a computer agent with a humanoid robot. In *Human-Robot Interaction (HRI), 2007 2nd ACM/IEEE International Conference on*. ACM/IEEE, Arlington, USA, 145–152. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1228716.1228736>
- [46] Byron Reeves and Clifford Nass. 1996. *The Media Equation*. CSLI Publications and Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [47] Juliane Reichenbach, Christoph Bartneck, and Julie Carpenter. 2006. Well done, Robot! The importance of praise and presence in human-robot collaboration. In *Robot and Human Interactive Communication, 2006. ROMAN 2006. The 15th IEEE International Symposium on*. IEEE, Hatfield, UK, 86–90. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ROMAN.2006.314399>
- [48] Kathleen Richardson. 2016. The asymmetrical 'relationship': parallels between prostitution and the development of sex robots. *ACM SIGCAS Computers and Society* 45, 3 (2016), 290–293. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2874239.2874281>
- [49] Laurel D Riek, Tal-Chen Rabinowitch, Bhismadev Chakrabarti, and Peter Robinson. 2009. How anthropomorphism affects empathy toward robots. In *Proceedings of the 4th ACM/IEEE international conference on Human robot interaction*. ACM/IEEE, San Diego, USA, 245–246. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1514095.1514158>
- [50] Astrid M Rosenthal-von der Pütten, Nicole C Krämer, Laura Hoffmann, Sabrina Sobieraj, and Sabrina C Eimler. 2013. An experimental study on emotional reactions towards a robot. *International Journal of Social Robotics* 5, 1 (2013), 17–34. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12369-012-0173-8>
- [51] Peter AM Ruijten, Diane HL Bouten, Dana CJ Rouschop, Jaap Ham, and Cees JH Midden. 2014. Introducing a rasch-type anthropomorphism scale. In *Proceedings of the 2014 ACM/IEEE international conference on Human-robot interaction*. ACM/IEEE, Bielefeld, Germany, 280–281. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2559636.2559825>
- [52] Maha Salem, Friederike Eyssel, Katharina Rohlfing, Stefan Kopp, and Frank Joublin. 2013. To err is human (-like): Effects of robot gesture on perceived anthropomorphism and likability. *International Journal of Social Robotics* 5, 3 (2013), 313–323. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12369-013-0196-9>
- [53] Pericle Salvini, Gaetano Ciaravella, Wopil Yu, Gabriele Ferri, Alessandro Manzi, Barbara Mazzolai, Cecilia Laschi, Sang-Rok Oh, and Paolo Dario. 2010. How safe are service robots in urban environments? Bullying a robot. In *RO-MAN, 2010 IEEE*. IEEE, Viareggio, Italy, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ROMAN.2010.5654677>
- [54] Daniel J Simons and Christopher F Chabris. 2012. Common (mis)beliefs about memory: A replication and comparison of telephone and Mechanical Turk survey methods. *PLoS one* 7, 12 (2012), e51876. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0051876>
- [55] Mel Slater, Angus Antley, Adam Davison, David Swapp, Christoph Guger, Chris Barker, Nancy Pistrang, and Maria V Sanchez-Vives. 2006. A virtual reprise of the Stanley Milgram obedience experiments. *PLoS one* 1, 1 (2006), e39. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0000039>
- [56] Adobe Systems Software. 2017. Adobe After Effects CC for MacOS (14.2.1) [Computer software]. (2017).
- [57] Robert Sparrow. 2017. Robots, Rape, and Representation. *International Journal of Social Robotics* 9, 4 (2017), 465–477. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12369-017-0413-z>
- [58] John Suler. 2004. The online disinhibition effect. *Cyberpsychology & behavior* 7, 3 (2004), 321–326. <https://doi.org/10.1089/1094931041291295>
- [59] Sam Thellman, Annika Silvervarg, Agneta Gulz, and Tom Ziemke. 2016. Physical vs. Virtual Agent Embodiment and Effects on Social Interaction. In *Intelligent Virtual Agents: 16th International Conference, IVA 2016*. Springer International Publishing, Los Angeles, USA, 412–415. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-47665-0_44
- [60] Anthony A Volk, René Veenstra, and Dorothy L Espelage. 2017. So you want to study bullying? Recommendations to enhance the validity, transparency, and compatibility of bullying research. *Aggression and violent behavior* 36 (2017), 34–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2017.07.003>
- [61] Peter Wallis. 2005. Robust normative systems: What happens when a normative system fails. In *Abuse: the darker side of human-computer interaction, Interact 2005*. Rome, Italy, 68–72.
- [62] Adam Waytz and Nicholas Epley. 2012. Social connection enables dehumanization. *Journal of experimental social psychology* 48, 1 (2012), 70–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2011.07.012>
- [63] Adam Waytz, Nicholas Epley, and John T Cacioppo. 2010. Social cognition unbound: Insights into anthropomorphism and dehumanization. *Current Directions in Psychological Science* 19, 1 (2010), 58–62. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721409359302>
- [64] Adam Waytz, Carey K Morewedge, Nicholas Epley, George Monteleone, Jiahong Gao, and John T Cacioppo. 2010. Making sense by making sentient: effectance motivation increases anthropomorphism. *Journal of personality and social psychology* 99, 3 (2010), 410–465. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0020240>
- [65] Blay Whitby. 2008. Sometimes it's hard to be a robot: A call for action on the ethics of abusing artificial agents. *Interacting with Computers* 20, 3 (2008), 326–333. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intcom.2008.02.002>
- [66] Ricarda Wullenkord, Marlena R Fraune, Friederike Eyssel, and Selma Šabanović. 2016. Getting in Touch: How imagined, actual, and physical contact affect evaluations of robots. In *Robot and Human Interactive Communication (RO-MAN), 2016 25th IEEE International Symposium on*. IEEE, New York, USA, 980–985. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ROMAN.2016.7745228>
- [67] Jakub Zlotowski, E. Strasser, and C. Bartneck. 2014. Dimensions of anthropomorphism: from humanness to humanlikeness. In *Proceedings of the 9th ACM/IEEE Conference on Human-Robot Interaction (HRI 2014)*, G. Sagerer (Ed.). ACM/IEEE, New York, USA, 66–73.
- [68] Jakub Zlotowski, Hidenobu Sumioka, Christoph Bartneck, Shuichi Nishio, and Hiroshi Ishiguro. 2017. Understanding anthropomorphism: Anthropomorphism is not a reverse process of dehumanization. (2017), 6 pages.